

Dear Madam,Sir

How can anybody trust and accept advice from a think tank if there is a clear financial link between a so called independent body and a company like NG which has a vested interest in the outcome of it's advice.

Too many examples spring to mind to realise sponsored research or advisory bodies don't work.(FSA, DuPont etc).

Any sensible solution , as any engineer will know who has ever built any form of energy distribution, knows a ring main ie. off shore grid for long distance energy distribution is the only future proof means to guarantee the energy security required. As an island nation it is absolute madness not utilising it's geographical advantage for an offshore solution, which really should have already been in existence for the last decade if only any government had been more interested in long term infrastructure projects rather than the usual political short sightedness for it's own term in office.

Would you please consider the positive impact an integrated offshore grid does provide as seen in other areas of the country already.

To expect local people to shoulder all the disadvantages of stress and anxiety as a project like this exerts when there is a better and more future proof alternative available, is in my eyes an inhumane and purely financially driven choice.

Kind Regards

Frank Lepand

